

CLINTON TOWNSHIP PLANNING COMMISSION

REPORT OF MEETING

APRIL 26TH, 2018

PRESENT: Kirkanne Moseley, Chairperson
Denise C. Trombley, Secretary
Stephen Charron
Lawrence Opalewski Jr.
Joie West

ABSENT: Ronald DiBartolomeo, Vice-Chairperson (Excused)
George Brumbaugh (Excused)
Michael Deyak (Excused)
Daniel Spatafora (Excused)

STAFF: Carlo Santia

Ms. Moseley called the meeting to order at 6:30 p.m.

APPROVAL OF AGENDA

Motion by Ms. West, supported by Mr. Charron, to approve the agenda as submitted. Roll Call Vote: Ayes – West, Charron, Moseley, Opalewski, Trombley. Nays – None. Absent – DiBartolomeo, Brumbaugh, Deyak, Spatafora. Motion carried.

PART OF 1.925 ACRES OF LAND FRONTING THE EAST LINE OF HAYES ROAD, SOUTH OF AMORE DRIVE, ADDRESSED AS 40120 HAYES ROAD (IN COUNTRYSIDE PLAZA) (PART OF PARCEL #16-11-18-151-008)

- **SPECIAL LAND USE: A THERAPEUTIC MASSAGE FACILITY IN THE B-2 COMMUNITY BUSINESS DISTRICT (MASSAGE ETHEREAL DAY SPA @ COUNTRYSIDE PLAZA)**

-- **PUBLIC HEARING**

**FILE #18-0550: PETITIONED BY MS. ERICKA MARIE KRAJEWSKI,
MASSAGE ETHEREAL DAY SPA**

Ms. Trombley read the letter into the record dated April 20th, 2018 from the Director of the Department of Planning and Community Development. She advised that notice of this public hearing was issued by regular mail to 82 owners and/or occupants within 300 feet of the land in question, with 9 of those returned as undeliverable.

Ms. Ericka Marie Krajewski, petitioner, stated she changed the reference of the business to “massage ethereal wellness spa” from “day spa”. She explained it is a day spa, and she got the name registered through the IRS. She indicated she will be turning it into the Clerk’s Office, and she stated she is bringing something completely different to the community from what was previously at this location. She stated it is a massage facility, and she is a massage therapist, licensed by the State of Michigan. She added she is insured, and she is certified in pediatric massage, one of the only pediatric massage therapists certified in the Oakland and Macomb County area. She is bringing with her a person with a medical foundation who is an esthetician, licensed by the State of Michigan and insured. She works in a dermatology office and has sixteen years in the medical field. She stated this will be a faith-based massage clinic with a medical foundation, and she assured everyone working with her will have a medical background. She stressed that is very important to her because of what she already brings forth with her clients. She clarified that this will be a clinic for women and children only, with primarily women as therapists and other employees. She explained she is currently working with independent contractors but hopes in the future to have employees directly hired by her.

Motion by Ms. West, supported by Mr. Charron, to open the public hearing. Roll Call Vote: Ayes – West, Charron, Moseley, Opalewski, Trombley. Nays – None. Absent – DiBartolomeo, Brumbaugh, Deyak, Spatafora. Motion carried.

There was no one in the audience who spoke on this issue.

Motion by Mr. Charron supported by Mr. Opalewski, to close the public hearing. Roll Call Vote: Ayes – Charron, Opalewski, Moseley, West, Trombley. Nays – None. Absent – DiBartolomeo, Brumbaugh, Deyak, Spatafora. Motion carried.

Ms. West questioned Ms. Krajewski as to where she has been in business up to this point.

Ms. Krajewski replied that she currently practices out of Clinton Township at Body and Soul Therapeutic Massage, a facility on Garfield, located between 15 Mile and Moravian. She clarified she is in independent contractor under “Massage Ethereal” and was practicing under “Massage by Ericka dba Massage Ethereal”. Since then she has transferred herself into “Massage Ethereal” since she knew she wanted to work with an esthetician. She indicated that the owner of Body and Soul Therapeutic Massage is not zoned to have an esthetician in her place, which is why she started looking for her own place.

Ms. West questioned whether it will now be “Massage Day Spa”.

Ms. Krajewski replied that “ethereal” means “heavenly”, and it will be “Massage Ethereal Wellness Spa”.

Ms. West inquired as to whether it will be Ms. Krajewski and the esthetician to start with. She inquired as to whether they will also have a receptionist.

Ms. Krajewski replied that she and the esthetician will be covering the receptionist duties together to start, but she replied her goal is to eventually bring on another massage therapist and esthetician.

Ms. West hoped Ms. Krajewski will do so well that she will be able to bring in more employees in the future.

Motion by Ms. West, supported by Mr. Opalewski, with reference to File #18-0550 and application from Ms. Ericka Marie Krajewski, Massage Ethereal Wellness Spa, 3277 Eastern Avenue, Rochester Hills, Michigan 48307, concerning the Special Land Use for a therapeutic massage facility in the B-2 Community Business District (Massage Ethereal Wellness Spa @ Countryside Plaza), located in a commercial shopping plaza on 1.925 acres of land fronting the east line of Hayes Road, south of Amore Drive, addressed as 40120 Hayes Road (Section 18), that recommendation be forwarded to the Clinton Township Board for approval of the Special Land Use as requested. Roll Call Vote: Ayes – West, Opalewski, Charron, Moseley, Trombley. Nays – None. Absent – DiBartolomeo, Brumbaugh, Deyak, Spatafora. Motion carried.

Ms. Moseley explained the Planning Commission is a recommending body, and this will be forwarded to the Clinton Township Board for their final consideration at their meeting on May 14th, 2018.

LOTS 518 THROUGH 522, INGLESIDE FARMS #3 SUBDIVISION (SECTION 15), BEING 4.61 ACRES WEST OF HILLDALE, SOUTH OF HARRINGTON, ADDRESSED AS 38725, 38745, 38765, 38785 AND A VACANT LOT ON HILLDALE (PARCELS 16-11-15-452-007, -006, -005, -004 AND -003)

- PROPOSED REZONING: R-3 ONE-FAMILY RESIDENTIAL TO OS-1 OFFICE/SERVICE (LOW-RISE)

-- PUBLIC HEARING

**FILE #18-6819: PETITIONED BY MS. ELISHA PACHECO,
VANGUARD HEATHCARE REAL ESTATE
AND
MR. CHAD HENDERSON, CATALYST HRT, LLC/
CATALYST ACQUISITIONS**

REPRESENTED BY MR. JOHN A VITALE, STUCKY VITALE ARCHITECTS

Ms. Trombley read the letter into the record dated April 20th, 2018 from the Director of the Department of Planning and Community Development. She advised that notice of this public hearing was issued by regular mail to 41 owners and/or occupants within 300 feet of the land in question, with none of those returned as undeliverable.

Ms. Elisha Pacheco, of Vanguard Healthcare Real Estate, and Mr. Chad Henderson, of Catalyst HRE, LLC/Catalyst Acquisitions LLC, were present to answer questions.

Ms. Pacheco provided a brief description of the project, noting they are planning on building a medical office building on the southwest corner of Hilldale and Harrington. There is a medical office building that currently exists on that property and it is zoned OS-1. That is adjacent to a vacant parcel zoned R-3, and four parcels to the south of that are zoned R-3 as well. She explained they are seeking a rezoning of the R-3 parcels to OS-1 to combine those to build a three-story medical office building measuring approximately 60,000 square feet, along with the supporting parking.

Motion by Mr. Charron, supported by Ms. West, to open the public hearing. Roll Call Vote: Ayes – Charron, West, Moseley, Opalewski, Trombley. Nays – None. Absent – DiBartolomeo, Brumbaugh, Deyak, Spatafora. Motion carried.

Mr. Reid Wichern, 38722 Hilldale, Clinton Township, Michigan 48036, stated he lives across from the proposed building. He explained that after living there for thirty-five years, they are wondering how their neighbors were bought out before this hearing even took place, and he expressed concern about the property values of the remaining homes in the area, including his home. He commented that, while he understands progress and change, as homeowners there for thirty-five years, they are concerned about what will happen to their neighborhood and their investment. He hopes that the Township will take that into consideration.

Ms. Lisa McCann, 38705 Hilldale, Clinton Township, Michigan 48036, stated she lives adjacent to the southernmost property being proposed for rezoning. She noted she has lived in this house for over fifty years and inquired as to what is going to happen to the neighborhood and the property values.

Motion by Mr. Charron, supported by Mr. Opalewski, to close the public hearing. Roll Call Vote: Ayes – Charron, Opalewski, Moseley, West, Trombley. Nays – None. Absent – DiBartolomeo, Brumbaugh, Deyak, Spatafora. Motion carried.

Mr. Charron stated that anytime the Commission is asked to consider a rezoning request, he asks if the parties seeking the rezoning would like to consider a Conditional Rezoning because of the complexity of the real estate and the closeness to single-family homes. It is close to the hospital, and he felt a Conditional Rezoning would provide some structure. He inquired as to the size of the building.

Ms. Pacheco replied it is a three-story, 60,000-square-foot office building, so the footprint is 20,000 square feet. She noted there is a commercial building on the corner occupied by an orthopedic group, and that building is 10,000 square feet, so this will be double that in the size of the footprint that is there.

Mr. Henderson assured they have worked very hard to make sure the building is closer to the hospital by being located at the corner of Harrington and Hilldale. He assured they are very cognizant of the surrounding residential area and through an outreach program, they are asking residents for their input and will do the best they can. They are working with the surrounding physicians of the community as well as the hospital, and it is their interest to promote better access to better health care by having this type of quality development near the hospital.

Ms. West inquired as to the proposed setback.

Mr. Santia assured they are providing a landscaped buffer around the entire site, including the residential to the south, and significant landscaping facing the east side, which is across from single-family residential.

Mr. Charron inquired as to whether the residents to the east will be looking across at a parking lot.

Mr. Santia replied the plan is for the office building to go in toward the north, and parking to the south of that.

Ms. West inquired as to whether they have renderings for their proposed building.

Ms. Pacheco replied they do not have renderings of the plan at this time.

Ms. West inquired as to whether they have plans to expand in the future, noting they are providing a lot of parking.

Ms. Pacheco replied they do not have plans to expand at this time. She noted they go through a survey process to see how much physician space they need, and they want to make sure they have sufficient parking to meet those needs. The owners of the single-family homes were all moving out, so they put together a plan to purchase the land and combine it for this purpose.

Ms. West inquired as to whether employees from the hospital will be parking at this location, and she further inquired as to the number of parking spaces being provided.

Mr. Santia replied there is a lot of parking available, and there may be a temporary plan to allow some employee parking at this location. There is a construction plan with the tower and it is interrupting some of the parking on site.

Mr. Tom Brisse, President of McLaren Macomb Hospital, explained there is no more space to expand their campus, and as the campus has become more complex, they have had a strong interest in moving some of their outpatient services into the new medical building being proposed. He assured this will be a wonderful building for patients to get in and out quicker, and they will be offering the community a lot of cutting-edge services. He explained they own a piece of property at the end of Groesbeck and Harrington, so they can accommodate more parking at that location if they need to do so. He replied to inquiry that this building will not be owned by McLaren, but they will be leasing it and will be a tenant in the building.

Ms. West questioned about the property values of the surrounding homes in the area.

Mr. Santia replied he did not believe the property values will diminish, noting this will be a beautiful facility and the Township will make sure it is aesthetically pleasing for the area. He stated he has dealt with the hospital over the last forty years and they maintain their property very well.

Ms. West questioned whether property values tend to maintain or rise when developments such as this are developed in the area.

Mr. Santia replied the property values in the area generally increase with the development of this type of use. He replied to further inquiry that the subject property is indicated on the Master Plan for Future Land Use as Office/Service.

Motion by Mr. Charron, supported by Ms. West, with reference to File #18-6819 and application from Ms. Elisha Pacheco, Vanguard Healthcare Real Estate, 2060 N. Humboldt Avenue, #225, Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53212, and Mr. Chad Henderson, Catalyst HRE, LLC/ Catalyst Acquisitions LLC, 41 N. Jefferson Street, 4th Floor, Pensacola, Florida 32502, as represented by Mr. John A. Vitale, Stucky Vitale Architects, 27172 Woodward Avenue, Royal Oak, Michigan 48067, concerning the proposed rezoning from R-3 One-Family Residential to OS-1 Office/Service (Low-Rise) for Lots 518 through 522, Ingleside Farms #3 Subdivision (Section 15), being 4.61 acres fronting the west line of Hilldale, south of Harrington, addressed as 38725, 38745, 38765, 38785 and vacant on Hilldale, that recommendation be forwarded to the Clinton Township Board for approval of the rezoning as requested. Roll Call Vote: Ayes – Charron, West, Moseley, Opalewski, Trombley. Nays – None. Absent – DiBartolomeo, Brumbaugh, Deyak, Spatafora. Motion carried.

Ms. Moseley informed the Planning Commission is a recommending body, and this recommendation will be forwarded to the Clinton Township Board for their final consideration on their April 30th, 2018 meeting.

MEDICAL MARIHUANA FACILITY LICENSING ACT (MMFLA)
- PROPOSED AMENDMENT TO THE PLANNING AND ZONING CODE
-- PUBLIC HEARING
FILE #18-6806

Ms. Moseley explained this is a continued discussion on the MMFLA and the proposed amendment to the Planning and Zoning Code. She indicated that Mr. Santia provided each of the Planning Commissioners with a proposed map, but he will be collecting those before they leave tonight.

Mr. Santia clarified the reason for the maps being returned is because they have not been properly vetted with the elected officials, and he will need to go through that process before they are made public. He explained they can speak about the general area in which they are talking about. They are looking at the south end of Groesbeck Highway, from Kelly Road, extending in a triangular pattern to about 1,000 feet or 1,500 feet north of 15 Mile Road. They are also looking at the area on the north end of Groesbeck Highway, adjacent to M-59, expanding approximately a half-mile to the west of Groesbeck and about a mile to the east, to Gratiot, and a mile to the south. Mr. Santia explained they tried to look at the impediments, such as schools and churches, including Kensington Church, the L'Anse Creuse's Pankow Education Center and the Performing Arts Center, as well as the school.

Ms. Moseley inquired as to whether they can look at other areas to see if it would work.

Mr. Santia replied affirmatively but noted at this time they are only looking at industrially-zoned property, which limits them to Groesbeck Highway, M-59 (Hall Road), and Gratiot Avenue, north of Mount Clemens. They looked at the area along 15 Mile Road, east of Gratiot Avenue, and along Harper Avenue, south of 15 Mile to 14 Mile Road; however, those areas were determined early on not to be considered for the marijuana districts.

Mr. Opalewski inquired as to whether these are areas where everyone could locate and not limited only to provisioning centers.

Mr. Santia confirmed that is correct.

Ms. Moseley inquired as to how the Planning Commission wants to handle this, noting that they have reviewed the draft several times. She did not feel they have to go through the entire draft again, but she has some comments.

Mr. Santia stated there are some typos and other minor changes, and he felt those can be corrected.

Ms. Moseley stated she is looking at Page 5, Section 1291.03, Authorized Number and Permitted Types of Facilities, and she recalled discussing five provisioning centers, yet the proposed ordinance is still reflecting two provisioning centers. She expressed concern about that, noting that in looking at it long-term, if recreational marijuana becomes legal, having only two provisioning centers may not be enough. If people are coming from all over Macomb County, she is concerned about being overloaded with an influx of people to buy marijuana from only two provisioning centers, questioning whether there would be sufficient parking spaces and people standing outside because only two provisioning centers would be too crowded.

Mr. Opalewski agreed with Ms. Moseley, and felt they need to make sure they are going to do this right. He could not see that it makes sense to keep it limited to two provisioning centers. He reminded they only have to approve the facilities they want, so even if five were allowed, it does not mean the Township has to approve five. He felt recreational marijuana is going to eventually be approved, and they will need more than two provisioning centers.

Mr. Charron questioned, regarding the building and number of parking spaces during the review process, if an organization comes in and wants to provide growing, processing and provisioning out of the same facility, the Township will have the right to reject that proposal if they feel there is insufficient parking or other reasons why the site cannot handle all three operations. He reminded that all three operations must be separated so it would not all be open. He agreed with Ms. Moseley and Mr. Opalewski that if they are permitting five grow operations and five processing operations, they should also allow five dispensaries as well, although he clarified they do not have to approve all five licenses. He expressed concern about the 300 feet from residential areas, especially near Groesbeck. He pointed out a lot of the industrial area is abutting residential areas. He stated he has lived in that area most of his life and it has never had much of an impact with the industrial adjacent to the residential. He indicated they may want to review it if they already have a buffer. He could understand the 300 feet, and in the two areas proposed, it looks like a lot of thought was put into it and the concern about it being too close to schools and churches. Mr. Charron stated it appears they will have to drive to these areas, so the locations are excellent. He concluded those are his concerns, but he felt it seems to be well-done.

Ms. West questioned why the area of 15 Mile is limited and why it does not extend all the way up 15 Mile.

Mr. Santia replied it is because it is residentially-zoned property. He explained it is an apartment complex.

Ms. West questioned about the other side of Groesbeck, on the west side of the railroad tracks.

Mr. Santia replied that is all residential.

Ms. West questioned a specific area, and Mr. Santia indicated it is commercially-zoned property. He clarified they are currently looking at industrial property only.

Ms. West concurred with her colleagues on their opinions that there should be five provisioning centers allowed. She questioned the vetting process, and what will the parking requirements be.

Mr. Santia replied the zoning ordinance already covers parking requirements for different types of facilities, although not specific to marijuana uses. He explained that an industrial building requires one parking space for every 500 square feet, which he felt would be appropriate for a grow or processing operation. He replied to further inquiry that a dispensary is more of a retail use, like a fast-food restaurant, where patrons go in, look at a menu, order, pay for and receive their food and leave. That use would be one parking space for every 35 square feet of floor space of the dispensary, so parking standards are already in place.

Ms. West stated she is in favor of five dispensaries.

Mr. Santia apologized, noting that he thought they had changed it to five, but when he got it back from the typist, it still indicated two.

Mr. Charron inquired as to the time constraints on the various operations.

Mr. Santia replied the grow and processing centers will be 24 hours a day. For provisioning centers, they cannot operate after 9 p.m. nor any earlier than 7 a.m.

Mr. Charron inquired as to whether patrons will have to be 21 years of age to enter a facility.

Mr. Santia replied he believed that is what the law requires.

Ms. Moseley requested clarification on Page 11 of the draft.

Ms. West stated on Page 9, it specifies 18 years of age.

Mr. Santia noted that Ms. West is looking at the Regulatory Ordinance.

Mr. Santia clarified that the employees must be at least 18 years of age, but the people coming in have to be 21. He stated they will confirm that.

Ms. Moseley requested clarification on Item D, referring to the “cultivation of plants within 100 feet of any property boundary is prohibited”.

Mr. Santia did not anticipate they will have that situation, but that is to cover any situation where the marihuana is grown outdoors and growing within that 100-foot boundary is prohibited.

Ms. Moseley explained the Planning Commission is trying to put this together, but they do not have the final say. Their recommendation will be forwarded to the Township Board.

Mr. Opalewski inquired as to whether this Ordinance has been reviewed by the Township attorney in their current form.

Mr. Santia replied affirmatively, noting the Township attorney drafted them. He acknowledged that typos still must be fixed.

Mr. Charron questioned whether other municipalities in Macomb County have passed ordinances like this.

Mr. Santia replied affirmatively, noting he had sent copies of them to the Commissioners when this was first being discussed. He cited the communities of Centerline, Warren, Lenox Township and Harrison Township, noting the latter has an ordinance for growing and processing only.

Ms. Moseley questioned how the applicants will be vetted.

Mr. Santia replied there will be an application, and it will be handled by the Clerk's Office. He replied to further inquiry that he is helping them with that process, but the Planning Department will not be dealing with it. The application will include the financial ability of the applicant, the work plan, and the applicant will have to show some manner of capital in the bank, providing information like those who apply for a liquor license. It will involve providing tax returns, but he did not know for how many years.

Mr. Opalewski questioned how recreational marihuana, if passed, will affect these ordinances.

Mr. Santia replied they do not think it should affect these ordinances the way they are written. There is the thought that, if it goes recreational, any dispensary or provisioning center that is existing may be able to add another cash register and start selling recreational marihuana. That may not sit well with the Township because they are considering it only for the medicinal aspect.

Ms. West inquired as to whether they will be forced to allow recreational if it is passed.

Mr. Santia did not believe so, but he did not know whether the Township would be successful in keeping it out if recreational use is approved by the voters.

Ms. West raised the question of measuring from building to building rather than from lot line to lot line when it comes to buffering distances.

Mr. Santia clarified that the Township has historically made determinations based on lot line to lot line

Ms. West inquired as to how the liquor commission handles minimum distances.

Mr. Santia replied that goes from the door out to the street, down the center line of the street and up to the door of the other building. It is not what has been done in Clinton Township. He clarified the Township has never administered the liquor control licenses, so the State does that as part of their process. He anticipated having a healthy discussion about that issue.

Ms. West inquired as to whether any consideration will be given to those applicants who have given back to the community.

Mr. Santia replied affirmatively, noting that they will have some type of scale, so if an applicant is currently a Clinton Township business owner and has been for several years, and has been giving back to the community and running a good operation, they will get extra points.

Ms. West is aware that other communities, such as Lenox Township, poses the question like “what are you going to do for this community?”.

Ms. Moseley questioned where they go from this point, and whether they are done after tonight.

Mr. Santia replied if the Planning Commissioners want to look at some of the applications for other communities, they can bring this back.

Ms. Moseley stated she would like to see a final draft and whatever application that is put together. She indicated that since the areas have been designated, she wants to go out and look at them.

Ms. West agreed with Ms. Moseley. She stated she would also like to see the application. She felt a lot of the Commissioners have had input into this, and she would like to see a full Commission present to vote on it.

Mr. Charron agreed, and felt it is a well-thought-out plan, and they should be able to see the final draft that they are recommending to the Township Board. He did not feel this Commission needs to be involved in the application process because that is at a different level.

Ms. West stated she would still like to see the application.

Mr. Charron stated it is ok to see it, but it falls at a different level and is not part of the Planning Commission's venue. He felt it is well-defined if this is passed.

Discussion took place regarding the next step for the Planning Commission.

Mr. Opalewski felt it does not make sense to continue to have work sessions, and he questioned when they will be making the decision to forward this to the Township Board. He suggested they should decide which part of the ordinance they are going to concentrate on at a meeting, and they can move on to another specific section of the ordinance the next time it is on the agenda.

Ms. Moseley agreed and requested the ordinance be prepared as a final draft for the Planning Commission's review, along with a draft of the proposed application.

Mr. Santia replied it will not be ready in two weeks.

Ms. Moseley questioned whether it could take place the second meeting of May.

Ms. Trombley felt it could be placed on the agenda for the second meeting in May, but then there should be no discussion on it at the next meeting.

Ms. West stated she would like to see the final draft before making the recommendation to the Board.

Mr. Charron stated he would like something more definitive on the location of the designated areas. He felt that one of the key elements to moving this forward will be knowing the specific areas and why they are chosen. He did not know if that falls under the responsibility of the Planning Commission to choose those areas, but he felt they need to know what the Township is considering for where those areas are.

Mr. Santia assured he will be able to provide a little more feedback after he talks with the elected officials.

Ms. West noted that one area borders the City of Fraser, and she inquired as to the zoning of those abutting parcels.

Mr. Santia replied the parcels in the City of Fraser that are bordered by the proposed areas in Clinton Township are all zoned industrial.

Discussion took place regarding the designated areas.

Mr. Santia reminded there may be areas where it would be permitted, but that does not mean a facility will be located there.

Ms. Trombley stated she would like to see a bigger map.

Ms. Moseley stated she is willing to go on a “field trip” to look at these locations if anyone would like to do the same.

Ms. West questioned whether there could be other areas if this becomes approved for recreational marihuana.

Mr. Santia stated they would have to modify the ordinance. He pointed out if the Board decides to change the number of provisioning centers from the five proposed to fifteen, then they would allow a total of fifteen provisioning centers. The Board can choose to have them wherever they want if they want to change the ordinance to allow that. He cautioned that the Planning Commission is finalizing this ordinance for the time being, and then making their recommendation, but it will ultimately be the Board’s decision. He indicated the Board can approve it as submitted, and then ask him to change it to something different in three months by including more areas or making the area smaller.

Ms. West inquired as to whether there is a way to put the specific areas into the ordinance.

Mr. Santia stated that is what they are trying to do.

Ms. West stressed that she does not want to see these facilities in areas along Hayes or Garfield and wanted to make sure the ordinance is specific.

Mr. Santia did not feel the Board would do that. He emphasized the intent is to narrow it down the best they can.

Motion by Mr. Charron, supported by Ms. West, to request the Planning Department finalize the proposed Zoning Ordinance regarding MMFLA, as well as including a draft of the proposed application, and forward that information back to the Planning Commission for consideration at their May 24th, 2018 meeting. Roll Call Vote: Ayes – Charron, West, Opalewski, Moseley, Trombley. Nays – None. Absent – DiBartolomeo, Brumbaugh, Deyak, Spatafora. Motion carried.

REPORT OF MEETING

-- APPROVAL OF THE APRIL 12TH, 2018 REPORT

Motion by Mr. Opalewski, supported by Ms. West, to approve the report of the April 12th, 2018 Planning Commission Meeting, as submitted. Motion carried.

PLANNING COMMISSION SCHEDULE OF MEETINGS

-- NEXT MEETING SCHEDULED FOR THURSDAY, MAY 10TH, 2018

Mr. Santia noted the following item is anticipated to be on the agenda for May 10th, 2018:

- Verus Development, located on the northwest corner of 18 Mile and Garfield, will be coming back to the Planning Commission for further consideration.

ADJOURNMENT

Motion by Mr. Charron, supported by Ms. West, to adjourn the meeting. Motion carried. Meeting adjourned at 7:49 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Denise C. Trombley

Denise C. Trombley, Secretary
CLINTON TOWNSHIP PLANNING COMMISSION

ces:05/10/18

ces:05/11/18

Approved 05/24/18